# Amplitude-phase relations in a single-phase to six-phase voltage converter on an amorphous alloy core

I.M. Bedritskiy<sup>1</sup>, Kh.A. Sattarov<sup>2</sup>, S. Bojanic<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Tashkent state transport university, Tashkent, Uzbekistan <sup>2</sup>Tashkent university of information technologies named after Muhammad al-Khwarizmi, Tashkent, Uzbekistan <sup>3</sup>Polytechnic University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain E-mail: s.xurshid@tuit.uz

**Abstract.** The article discusses amplitude-phase relations in a stabilized single-phase to sixphase voltage converter on a core of amorphous alloys. The converter can be used as a stabilized power source with phase conversion for powering six-phase rectifiers, in protection and automation devices for power transmission lines, as well as for powering low- and medium-power six-phase loads from a single-phase network.

**Keywords:** phase number converter, amorphous alloys, three-rod transformer, windings, capacitors, ferroresonance, autoparametric oscillations.

## Introduction

Currently, the production, transmission and distribution of electricity is carried out by threephase sinusoidal current systems. The advantages of a three-phase system are realized only with complete symmetry of the phase currents. However, for some consumers (electrified transport, electrical installations, welding), single and two-phase power is used. The presence of such consumers leads to asymmetry or non-sinusoidal currents of the three-phase supply network. To preserve the advantages of a three–phase system when powering such receivers, it is necessary to use intermediate devices - phase number converters. This article discusses a converter of a parametric nature from a single-phase system to a six-phase one. Such a converter can be used as a stabilized power source with phase conversion for powering six-phase rectifiers, in protection and automation devices for power transmission lines, as well as for powering low- and medium-power six-phase loads from a single-phase network. The use of cores made of amorphous alloys can significantly improve the performance of the device, due to the high value of magnetic permeability and a significant reduction in losses due to hysteresis, magnetization reversal and eddy currents [3,4,7,8].

## Materials and methods

The mathematical model of this phase number converter can be implemented on the basis of an armored magnetic core [5], built using an amorphous alloy of Chinese production 1K101 or the Russian equivalent – AMAG492. To build the model, we used a core with a cross-section of  $s = 41 \cdot 10^{-4} m^2$ , the number of turns of coils w = 350 - 400, the length of the average magnetic line of the core l = 0.35 m, saturation induction - 1.56 *Tl*, coercive force is 8 *A/m*, relative magnetic permeability at a frequency of 10 kHz is 5000.

The hypothesis of the study is that magnetization curves are supposed to be used as models of magnetization for magnetically soft amorphous alloys, since these alloys have a very narrow hysteresis loop, and the obtained amplitude-phase ratios at the moment of current resonance will allow obtaining the necessary phase shifts for the phase transformation process and stabilizing the output voltages.

During the conversion process, the following assumptions were made:

-the magnetization curve can be approximated by the function  $H = k \cdot b^9$ , which gives acceptable results for the amorphous alloy type AMAG492 used in the converter design [2];

-all types of active losses are considered independent of the mode and are taken into account by the conductivities  $g_1, g_2$  [1];

-the inductions of scattering of windings [1] and the value of their own capacitance [1] are not taken into account;

-calculations of all parameters are performed using the harmonic balance method, and the values of the first harmonics of magnetic inductions, currents and voltages varying according to sinusoidal laws are taken into account.



Fig.1. Diagram of a single-phase to six-phase voltage converter

For the diagram in Fig.1, the following designations are used:  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  – cross-sectional areas of the core rods;  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  – lengths of the magnetic lines of the core;  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  – instantaneous values of inductions in the core rods;  $g_1, g_2$  – active conductivities of the windings of oscillatory circuits;  $W_1, W_2$  – the number of turns of the oscillating circuit windings;  $W_3, W_4, W_5, W_6, W_7, W_8$  are the secondary windings of the converter on which the artificial transformation of the number of phases takes place;  $C_1, C_2$  are the capacitances of the oscillating circuit capacitors; R is the load of the artificial phases; A, B, C, D, E, F, x, y, z, s, t are the beginnings and ends of artificial phases, respectively;  $i_{c1}, i_{c2}$ , are instantaneous currents in capacitors;  $i_{g1}, i_{g2}$  are currents in the conductivity of oscillatory circuits;  $i_1, i_2$  are currents in coils of oscillatory circuits;  $i = I_m \cdot \sin(\omega t + \psi_i)$  is the instantaneous value of the supply current;  $u = U_m \cdot \sin(\omega t + \psi_u)$  is the instantaneous value of the supply voltage;  $U_A, U_B, U_C, U_D, U_E, U_F$  are the values of the phase voltages of the artificial phases.

At certain values of U and frequency f, ferroresonance of currents is possible in the circuit under consideration, while the magnetic and electrical parts of the circuit are described by a system of equations,

$$\begin{cases} b_1 \cdot S_1 + b_2 \cdot S_2 - b_3 \cdot S_3 = 0; b_1^9 = \frac{k \cdot l_2 \cdot b_2^9 - i_2 \cdot W_2 + i_1 \cdot W_1}{k \cdot l_1} \\ u = W_1 \cdot S_1 \frac{db_1}{dt} + W_2 \cdot S_2 \frac{db_2}{dt}; i = i_2 + i_{c2} + i_{g2}; i = i_1 + i_{c1} + i_{g1} \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

in which the instantaneous currents in the branches can be found by the expressions:

$$i_2 = \frac{k \cdot l_2 \cdot b_2^9}{W_2} \quad (1.2), \, i_{C1} = W_1 \cdot C \cdot S_1 \cdot \frac{d_2 b_1}{dt^2} \quad (1.3), \, i_{C2} = W_2 \cdot C \cdot S_2 \cdot \frac{d_2 b_2}{dt^2} \quad (1.4)$$

American Journal of Research 3-4, March-April 2025 Impact factor 9 www.journalofresearch.us info@journalofresearch.us

$$i_{g2} = W_2 \cdot g_2 \cdot S_2 \frac{db_2}{dt} \quad (1.5), i_1 = \frac{k \cdot l_1 \cdot b_1^{\ 9}}{W_1} \quad (1.6), i_{g1} = W_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot S_1 \cdot \frac{db_1}{dt}. \quad (1.7)$$

Given the expression

$$b_3 = \frac{b_1 s_1 + b_2 s_2}{s_3}$$

as well as expressions for currents (1.2 - 1.7), we obtain

$$(w_1w_2C_2s_2\frac{d_2b_2}{dt^2} + w_1w_2g_2s_2\frac{db_2}{dt} + (\frac{w_1}{w_2})kl_2b_2^9 - w_1^2C_1s_1\frac{d_2b_1}{dt^2} - w_1^2g_1s_1\frac{db_1}{dt} - w_3^2C_1s_1\frac{d_2b_1}{dt^2} = kl_1b_1^9 + kl_3(\frac{s_1}{s_3}b_1 + \frac{s_2}{s_3}b_2)^9$$
(1.8)

We will look for solutions for the instantaneous values of inductions  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  in the form of sinusoidal functions  $b_1=B_{1m}\sin\omega t$ ;  $b_2=B_{2m}\sin(\omega t \cdot \phi_1)$ . After substituting this solution in 1.8 and considering (1.2 – 1.7), after some transformations we obtain

$$v_{1}w_{2}C_{2}s_{2}\omega^{2}B_{2m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}) + +w_{1}w_{2}g_{2}s_{2}\omega B_{2m}\cos(\omega t - \phi_{1}) + +0.5w_{1}kl_{2}B_{2m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}) + w_{1}^{2}C_{1}s_{1}\omega^{2}B_{1m}\sin\omega t - -w_{1}^{2}g_{1}s_{1}\omega Bt_{1m}\cos\omega t = 0.5kl_{1}B_{1m}^{9}\sin\omega t + + \frac{kl_{3}}{s_{3}^{9}}(s_{1}B_{1m}\sin\omega t + s_{2}B_{2m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}))^{9}$$
(1.9)

In expression (1.9), we raise the multiplier  $\frac{kl_3}{s_3^9}$  to the right of the equal sign to the 9th power by the Newton binomial, replace the degrees of the sine function with the sum of harmonics to the 1st power, and assume that the terms containing even harmonics are zero, and the constant components that appear when decomposing even powers of the sine will be included in the resulting expression in the form of constant factors for terms with odd degrees of sine. Taking into account only the first harmonic, we get

$$(s_{1}B_{1m}\sin\omega t + s_{2}B_{2m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}))^{9} = \\ = \frac{126}{256}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{9}\sin\omega t + \frac{9\cdot420}{256}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{8}s_{2}B_{2m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}) + \\ + \frac{36\cdot70}{64\cdot2}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{7}\sin\omega t(s_{2}B_{2m})^{2} + \frac{84\cdot3\cdot40}{4\cdot64}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{6}(s_{2}B_{2m})^{3} \\ sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}) + \frac{126\cdot5\cdot3}{8\cdot8}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{5}(s_{2}B_{2m})^{4}\sin\omega t + \\ + \frac{126\cdot3\cdot5}{8\cdot8}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{4}(s_{2}B_{2m})^{5}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}) + \frac{84\cdot3\cdot40}{4\cdot64}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{3} \\ (s_{2}B_{2m})^{6}\sin\omega t + \frac{36\cdot70}{2\cdot64}(s_{1}B_{1m})^{2}(s_{2}B_{2m})^{7}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1}) + \\ + \frac{9\cdot420}{256}(s_{1}B_{1m})(s_{2}B_{2m})^{8}\sin\omega t + \frac{126}{256}(s_{2}B_{2m})^{9}\sin(\omega t - \phi_{1})$$
(1.10)

We reduce such terms to (1.10) for sin $\omega$ t and sin $(\omega t \cdot \varphi_1)$ . We denote the resulting expressions for sin $\omega$ t as  $F_{1m}^9$ , and for sin $(\omega t \cdot \varphi_1)$  as  $F_{2m}^9$ . After all these mathematical operations, we get

$$F_{1m}^{9} = 0.5(s_1B_{1m})^9 + 19.7(s_1B_{1m})^7(s_2B_{2m})^2 + 29.5(s_1B_{1m})^5(s_2B_{2m})^4 + +39.4(s_1B_{1m})^3(s_2B_{2m})^6 + 14.8(s_1B_{1m})(s_2B_{2m})^8$$
(1.11)  
$$F_{2m}^{9} = 14.8(s_1B_{1m})^8(s_2B_{2m}) + 39.4(s_1B_{1m})^6(s_2B_{2m})^3 + +29.5(s_1B_{1m})^4(s_1B_{1m})^5 + 19.7(s_1B_{1m})^2(s_1B_{1m})^7 + 0.5(s_1B_{1m})^9$$
(1.12)

 $+29,5(s_1B_{1m})^4(s_2B_{2m})^5 + 19,7(s_1B_{1m})^2(s_2B_{2m})^7 + 0.5(s_2B_{2m})^9$  (1.12) The final expression looks like this:

 $(s_1B_{1m}\sin\omega t + s_2B_{2m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_1))^9 \approx F_{1m}^9\sin\omega t + F_{2m}^9\sin(\omega t - \phi_1)$  (1.13) Let's denote some coefficients

$$\alpha = w_1 w_2 C_2 s_2 \omega^2; \beta = w_1 w_2 g_2 s_2 \omega; \gamma = 0,5(\frac{w_1}{w_2})kl_2;$$
  

$$\lambda = w_1^2 C_1 s_1 \omega^2; \xi = w_1^2 g_1 s_1 \omega; z = 0,5kl_1; d = \frac{kl_3}{s_3^9}; \omega t = \tau$$
(1.14)

American Journal of Research 3-4, March-April 2025 Impact factor 9

Taking into account these coefficients and the notation (1.11-1.14), expression (1.10) is written as

$$\alpha B_{2m} \sin(\tau - \phi_1) + \beta B_{2m} \cos(\tau - \phi_1) + \gamma B_{2m} \sin(\tau - \phi_1) + \lambda B_{1m} \sin\tau - \xi B t_{1m} \cos\tau = z B_{1m}^9 \sin\tau + dF_{1m}^9 \sin\tau + dF_{2m}^9 \sin(\tau - \phi_1)$$
(1.15)

After reducing such terms to (1.15), replacing the sines and cosines of the angles with their products, and converting the resulting expression using the harmonic balance method, which consists in equating the coefficients to both the left and right of the equal sign, we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} (\gamma B_{2m}^9 - dF_{2m}^9 + \alpha B_{2m})\cos\phi_1 + \beta B_{2m}\sin\phi_1 = zB_{1m}^9 + dF_{1m}^9 - \lambda B_{1m} \\ -(\gamma B_{2m}^9 - dF_{2m}^9 + \alpha B_{2m})\sin\phi_1 + \beta B_{2m}\cos\phi_1 = \xi B_{1m} \end{cases}$$
(1.16)

Squaring the expressions to the left and right of the equal sign in (1.16) after the transformations, we obtain an expression defining the relationship between the amplitudes of magnetic inductions in the left and right rods of the circuit core according to Fig.2.

$$(\gamma B_{2m}^9 - dF_{2m}^9 + \beta B_{2m})^2 + (\beta B_{2m})^2 = (zB_{1m}^9 + dF_{1m}^9 - \lambda B_{1m})^2 + (\xi B_{1m})^2.$$
(1.17)

The transformation of expression (1.17) makes it possible to determine the phase shift angle between the amplitudes of magnetic inductions  $B_{1m}$  and  $B_{2m}$ 

$$\phi_1 = \operatorname{arctg}(\frac{(\beta B_{2m})(zB_{1m}^9 + dF_{1m}^9 - \lambda B_{1m}) - (\gamma B_{2m}^9 - dF_{2m}^9 + \alpha B_{2m})(\xi B_{1m})}{(\gamma B_{2m}^9 - dF_{2m}^9 + \alpha B_{2m})(zB_{1m}^9 + dF_{1m}^9 - \lambda B_{1m}) + (\beta' B_{2m})(\xi B_{1m})})$$
(1.18)

The amplitude of the magnetic induction in the middle core rod is found by the expression  $b_3=B_{3m}\sin(\omega t+\phi_3)$ , and taking into account the previously accepted designations, we obtain

$$B_{3m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_3) = \frac{s_1}{s_3} B_{1m}\sin\omega t + \frac{s_2}{s_3} B_{2m}\sin(\omega t - \phi_1)$$
(1.19)

Let's denote the relations between the areas as  $\sigma_1 = \frac{s_1}{s_3}$ ;  $\sigma_2 = \frac{s_2}{s_3}$ , we will have

$$B_{3m}\sin(\tau - \phi_3) = \sigma_1 B_{1m}\sin\tau + \sigma_2 B_{2m}\sin(\tau - \phi_1)$$
(1.20)  
After converting in (1.20) the difference of the sines of the angles into their product by the

harmonic balance method, we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} B_{3m} \cos \phi_3 = \sigma_1 B_{1m} + \sigma_2 B_{2m} \cos \phi_1 \\ B_{3m} \sin \phi_3 = \sigma_2 B_{2m} \sin \phi_1 \end{cases}$$
(1.21)

Converting (1.21), we find the amplitude of the first harmonic of the magnetic induction in the middle core rod

$$B_{3m} = \sqrt{(\sigma_1 B_{1m} + \sigma_2 B_{2m} \cos \phi_1)^2 + (\sigma_2 B_{2m} \sin \phi_1)^2}$$
(1.22)  
tial phase

and its initial phase

$$\phi_3 = \operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{\sigma_2 B_{2m} \sin \phi_1}{\sigma_1 B_{1m} + \sigma_2 B_{2m} \cos \phi_1}\right) \tag{1.23}$$

The current in the unbranched part of the considered circuit is determined through the parameters of the primary circuit. The expression for the current is written as

$$I_m \sin(\omega t + \psi_i) = i_{c_1} + i_{g_1} + i_1 = w_1 C_1 s_1 \frac{d^2 b_1}{dt^2} + w_1 g_1 s_1 \frac{d b_1}{dt} + \frac{k l_1 b_1^9}{w_1}$$
(1.24)

Substituting in (1.24) the solution for the magnetic induction in the first rod, after some transformations, we obtain

$$I_{m}\sin(\omega t + \psi_{i}) = -w_{1}C_{1}s_{1}\omega^{2}B_{1m}\sin\omega t + w_{1}g_{1}s_{1}\omega B_{1m}\cos\omega t + 0.5\frac{kl_{1}}{w_{1}}B_{1m}^{9}\sin\omega t$$
(1.25)

We introduce the notation of the coefficients before the sines and cosines.

$$\alpha_1 = w_1 C_1 s_1 \omega^2; \delta_1 = w_1 g_1 s_1 \omega; \gamma_1 = 0, 5 \frac{\kappa t_1}{w_1}$$
(1.26)

We transform (1.25) using the harmonic balance method, replacing the sums of the arguments of the sine and cosine functions by their product and comparing the coefficients for  $\sin\tau$  and  $\cos\tau$  both to the left and to the right of the equal sign

$$\begin{cases} I_m \cos \psi_i = \gamma_1 B_{1m}^9 - \alpha_1 B_{1m} \\ I_m \sin \psi_i = \delta_1 B_{1m} \end{cases}$$
(1.27)

From where we get the expression connecting the amplitudes of induction  $B_{1m}$  and the supply current  $I_{1m}$ 

$$I_m = \sqrt{(\gamma_1 B_{1m}^9 - \alpha_1 B_{1m})^2 + (\delta_1 B_{1m})^2}$$
(1.28)

And we also get an expression for determining the initial phase of the supply current  $I_{1m}$ .

$$\psi_i = \operatorname{arctg}(\frac{\delta_1 B_{1m}}{\gamma_1 B_{1m}^9 - \alpha_1 B_{1m}}) \tag{1.29}$$

The supply voltage  $U_m$  can be found according to Kirchhoff's second law, compiled for instantaneous voltage values.

$$U_m \sin(\omega t + \psi_u) = u_1 + u_2 = w_1 s_1 \frac{db_1}{dt} + w_2 s_2 \frac{db_2}{dt}$$
(1.30)

Substituting the solutions in (1.30)  $b_1 = B_{1m} \sin\omega t$ ;  $b_2 = B_{2m} \sin(\omega t \cdot \phi_1)$ , performing mathematical transformations and replacing the sine and cosine of the sum and difference of the arguments in the resulting expression with products  $v_1 = w_1 s_1 \omega$ ,  $v_2 = w_2 s_2 \omega$ ,  $\tau = \omega t$ , taking into account the notation, we have

$$U_m \sin\tau \cos\psi_u + U_m \cos\tau \sin\psi_u = v_1 B_{1m} \cos\tau + v_2 B_{2m} \cos\tau \cos\phi_1 + v_2 B_{2m} \sin\tau \sin\phi_1$$
(1.31)

Converting (1.31) by the harmonic balance method, we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} U_m \cos \psi_u = v_2 B_{2m} \sin \phi_1 \\ U_m \sin \psi_u = v_2 B_{2m} \sin \phi_1 \end{cases}$$
(1.32)

$$(U_m \sin \psi_u = v_1 B_{1m} + v_2 B_{2m} \cos \phi_{13})$$

from which an expression can be derived relating the input voltage to the harmonics of the magnetic inductions in the core rods

$$U_m = \sqrt{(v_2 B_{2m} \sin \phi_1)^2 + (v_1 B_{1m} + v_2 B_{2m} \cos \phi_1)^2}$$
(1.33)  
e initial phase of the supply voltage

and for the initial phase of the supply voltage  $v_1 B_{1m} + v_2 B_{2m} \cos \phi_{1n}$ 

$$\psi_{u} = \operatorname{arctg}(\frac{v_{1}B_{1m} + v_{2}B_{2m}\cos\phi_{1}}{v_{2}B_{2m}\sin\phi_{1}})$$
(1.34)

the phase shift angle between the voltage and current vectors can also be determined.

$$\phi = \psi_u - \psi_i \tag{1.35}$$

#### **Results and Discussion**

We investigate the dependencies  $B_{1m}^*, B_{2m}^*, B_{3m}^* = f(U_m^*)$ , reflecting on a certain scale the course of the converter's adjustment characteristics  $U_{1m}, U_{2m}, U_{3m} = f(U_m)$ . Figure 2 shows the family of curves  $B_{1m}^*, B_{2m}^*, B_{3m}^* = f(U_m^*)$ .



Fig. 2. Calculated adjustment characteristics of phase number converters at  $C_1=24$  mkF,  $C_2=10$  mkF

It can be seen from the curves that within the limits of the existence of autoparametric ferroresonance oscillations limited by points a and b, the inductions in the core rods do not change significantly: induction  $B_{3m}^*$  is located between points a' and b', induction  $B_{1m}^*$  is between points a'' and b'', and induction  $B_{2m}^*$  is between points a''' and b'''. The small deviation of the magnetic

American Journal of Research 3-4, March-April 2025 Impact factor 9

inductions indicates the possibility of using the circuit in question as a voltage stabilizer on the windings  $W_3$ - $W_8$ .

Using expressions (1.18), (1.23), (1.29), 1.34) and (1.35) we construct the dependences of the phase shifts  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$ ,  $\psi_3$  on the amplitude of the supply voltage  $U_m$ , the graphs of these dependences are shown in Fig. 3. From this figure it can be seen that before the ferroresonance jump (to points a, *b*, *c*) the phase shift on the extreme rods (curves  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ ) is capacitive, and in the middle rod (curve  $\psi_3$ ) it is inductive. After the ferroresonance jump (from points *a'*, *b'*, *c'* to the end of the coordinates), curve  $\psi_2$  has an inductive character with a phase shift of about  $+60^\circ$ , curve  $\psi_1$  has a capacitive character with a phase shift of about  $-60^\circ$ , and the phase shift  $\psi_3$  in the middle rod approaches zero.



Fig. 3. The dependence of phase shifts between magnetic inductions in the rods of the magnetic circuit of phase number converters on the amplitude of the supply voltage

If the ends of the windings  $W_3$  and  $W_7$  are reversed on the middle core rod, a phase shift of approximately 60° will be established between the output voltage vectors  $U_A$ ,  $U_B$ ,  $U_C$ ,  $U_D$ ,  $U_E$  and  $U_F$  and the values of the inductions and, accordingly, the voltages, as can be seen from Fig. 2, will stabilize.

The voltage characteristic of the converter  $U_m^* = f(I_m^*)$ , shown in Fig. 4, is the ratio between the amplitude of the input voltage and the amplitude of the current, expressed in relative units. As can be seen from Fig. 4, the current-voltage characteristic has a loop-like character with a section of almost stable current, that is, the circuit under study is close in properties to the current source, while these properties are preserved when the capacitance  $C_2$  changes from 8 to 15 *mkF*.



Fig. 4. Current-voltage characteristic of phase number converters at different capacitor capacitance values  $C_2$  ( $C_1$ =const)

According to [1, 6], this indicates the possibility of stabilizing the modes of both the relative amplitudes of magnetic inductions  $B_{1m}^*, B_{2m}^*, B_{3m}^*$  and the associated amplitudes of the phase voltages U<sub>A</sub>, U<sub>B</sub>, U<sub>C</sub>, U<sub>D</sub>, U<sub>E</sub> and U<sub>F</sub> of artificial phases.

## Calculation

1. The type of adjustment characteristic of the converter shows that in the ferroresonance mode of currents, when the supply voltage of the induction in the rods of the amorphous magnetic core changes, they remain almost unchanged, which indicates the stabilization of the voltages on the windings located on these rods.

2. The phase shifts in the ferroresonance mode of currents remain almost unchanged and equal to approximately  $60^\circ$ , which allows us to conclude that it is possible to convert the number of phases with shifts between phase voltages of approximately  $60^\circ$ .

3. The sections of stable current of the voltage characteristic at the moment of ferroresonance of the currents indicate that the circuit in question is powered by a current source, which means that voltage stabilization is possible in the circuit in accordance with the properties of the Bouchereau circuit.

## Literature

1. Bedritsky I.M. Parametric sources of secondary power supply with ferromagnetic elements (monograph). Tashkent: Nashriyot, 2020, 162 p.

2. Bedritskiy I.M., Juraeva K.K., Bazarov L.H., Saidvaliev S.S. Using of the parametric nonlinear LC-circuitsin stabilized converters of the number of phases// Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, Vol. 12, Issue-06, 2020.– pp.98-107

3. Amorphous magnetically soft alloys and their application in secondary power sources: A reference manual/ V.I. Khandogin, A.V. Raikova, N.N. Yershov et al.; ed. Khandogina V.I.– Moscow: 1990, 170 p.

4. Amorphous metals. Suzuki K., Fujimori H., Hashimoto K./ Ed. Masumoto Ts.. Translated from Japanese – M.: Metallurgy, 1987.-328 p.

5. Roginskaya L.E., Gorbunov A.S. Review of applied multiphase transformer phase number converters// Current trends in the development of science and technology. 2016. No. 9-2. pp. 24-26

6. Radmanesh, H., Abassi, A. and Rostami, M, Analysis of Ferroresonance Phenomena in Power Transformers Including Neutral Resistance Effect, IEEE conference, Georgia, USA, 2009.

7. Gurevich, V.I. "Secondary Power Sources: Anatomy and Application Experience" Electrical Market, 2009, No. 1 (25), pp. 50–54

8. Sohrabi, S., Fu, J., Li, L., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Sun, F., Ma, J., & Wang, W.H. "Manufacturing of Metallic Glass Components: Processes, Structures, and Properties." Progress in Materials Science, 2024, vol. 144, 101283